## For the Northern District of California

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	THOMAS HAUSCHILD, No. C 15-01556 WHA
9	Plaintiff,
10	v.
11 12	CITY OF RICHMOND AND CHRISTOPHER MAGNUS, ORDER DENYING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL
13	Defendants.
14	
15	Plaintiff Thomas Hauschild has filed an administrative motion to file under seal three
16	exhibits he appended in support of his motion for partial summary judgment. These exhibits are
17	portions of and exhibits to the Dickerson deposition; portions of and exhibits to Walle
18	deposition; and exhibits to the Threets deposition. Under Civil Local Rule 79(e)(1), the
19	"designating party must file a declaration establishing that all designated material is
20	sealable" within four days of the filing of the administrative motion. Plaintiff filed the motion
21	to seal on June 2, 2016, but defendants (the designating party) have not filed any declaration

to seal on June 2, 2016, but defendants (the designating party) have not filed any declaration establishing that all designated material is sealable. Accordingly, the administrative motion to file Exhibits A–C under seal is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 24, 2016.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE